



March 10, 2004

Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary  
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System  
20<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20055 1

RE: Docket No. R-1181

Dear Madam:

As a community banker, I strongly endorse the federal bank regulators' proposal to increase the asset size of banks eligible for the small bank streamlined Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) examination from \$250 million to \$500 million and elimination of the holding company size limit (currently \$1 billion). This proposal will greatly reduce regulatory burden. I am the Chief Executive Officer of First Bank of the South, \$335,620,720 in assets located in Lawrenceville, Georgia.

The small bank CRA examination process was an excellent innovation. As a community banker, I applaud the agencies for recognizing that it is time to expand this critical burden reduction benefit to larger community banks. At this critical time for the economy, this will allow more community banks to focus on what they do best—fueling America's local economies. When a bank must comply with the requirements of the large bank CRA evaluation process, the costs and burdens increase dramatically. And the resources devoted to CRA coinpliance are resources not available for meeting the credit demands of the community.

Adjusting the asset size limit also more accurately reflects significant changes and consolidation within the banking industry in the 10 years. To be fair, banks should be evaluated against their peers, not banks hundreds of times their size. The proposed change recognizes that it's not right to assess the CRA performance of a \$500 million bank or a \$1 billion bank with the same exam procedures used for a \$500 billion bank. Large banks now stretch from coast-to-coast with assets in the hundreds of billions of dollars. It is not fair to rate a community bank using the same CRA examination. And, while the proposed increase is a good first step, the size of banks eligible for the small bank streamlined CRA examination should be increased to \$2 billion, or at a minimum, \$1 billion.

Lawrenceville  
2230 Riverside Parkway  
Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043  
770-237-0007

Johns Creek  
6600 McGinnis Ferry Road  
Duluth, Georgia 30097  
770-476-9797

Embry Hills  
3310 Henderson Mill Road  
Atlanta, Georgia 30341  
770-621-9797

March 10, 2004

Page 2

Ironically, community activist seem oblivious to the costs and burdens. And yet, they object to bank mergers that remove the local bank from the community. This is contradictory. If community groups want to keep the local banks in the community where they have better access to decision-makers, they must recognize that regulatory burdens are strangling smaller institutions and forcing them to consider selling to larger institutions that can better manage the burdens.

Increasing the size of banks eligible for the small-bank streamlined CRA examination does not relieve banks from CRA responsibilities. Since the survival of many community banks is closely intertwined with the success and viability of their communities, the increase will merely eliminate some of the most burdensome requirements.

In summary, I believe that increasing the asset-size of banks eligible for the small bank streamlined CRA examination process is an important first step to reducing regulatory burden. I also support eliminating the separate holding company qualification for the streamlined examination, since it places small community banks that are part of a larger holding company at a disadvantage to their peers. While community banks still must comply with the general requirements of CRA, this change will eliminate some of the most problematic and burdensome elements of the current CRA regulation from community banks that are drowning in the regulatory red-tape. I also urge the agencies to seriously consider raising the size of banks eligible for the streamlined examination to \$2 billion or, at least, \$1 billion in assets to better reflect the current demographics of the banking industry.

Sincerely,



Glenn S. White  
Chief Executive Officer